Electronically FILED

by Supenor Court of California, County of San Maleo

ON 7/17/2019

By /s/ Marcela Enrique2 Deputy Clerk

DURIE TANGRI LLP 1 SONAL N. MEHTA (SBN 222086) 2 smehta@durietangri.com JOSHUA H. LERNER (SBN 220755) 3 ilerner@durietangri.com LAURĂ E. MILLER (SBN 271713) 4 lmiller@durietangri.com CATHERINE Y. KIM (SBN 308442) 5 ckim@durietangri.com ZACHARY G. F. ABRAHAMSON (SBN 310951) zabrahamson@durietangri.com 6 217 Leidesdorff Street 7 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415-362-6666 8 Facsimile: 415-236-6300 9 Attorneys for Defendants Facebook, Inc., Mark Zuckerberg, Christopher Cox, Javier Olivan, Samuel Lessin, Michael Vernal, and Ilya Sukhar 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SAN MATEO** 12 13 SIX4THREE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability Case No. CIV 533328 company, 14 Assigned for all purposes to Hon. V. Raymond Plaintiff, Swope, Dept. 23 15 v. **DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S** 16 **OBJECTION TO MACDONALD** FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation; FERNANDEZ'S VERIFIED STATEMENT OF 17 MARK ZUCKERBERG, an individual; **DISQUALIFICATION** CHRISTOPHER COX, an individual; 18 JAVIER OLIVAN, an individual; 23 (Complex Civil Litigation) Dept: SAMUEL LESSIN, an individual; Judge: Honorable V. Raymond Swope 19 MICHAEL VERNAL, an individual; ILYA SUKHAR, an individual; and FILING DATE: April 10, 2015 20 DOES 1-50, inclusive, 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

I. INTRODUCTION

Six4Three's limited-scope counsel's "Verified Statement of Disqualification" is improper and should be stricken. Statements of disqualification have no place in peremptory challenges: Such statements exist to facilitate challenges *for cause*, which cannot proceed without a list of the facts "constituting the grounds for disqualification of the judge." *See* Civ. Proc. Code § 170.3(c)(1). But Six4Three's new lawyers at Macdonald Fernandez LLP have not filed such a challenge. And they have no grounds on which to do so. The Court should therefore strike Macdonald Fernandez's "verified statement" as improper.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Factual Background.

This Court is familiar with recent developments in this case. After this Court ordered Six4Three to find substitute counsel, Six4Three retained Macdonald Fernandez on June 28, 2019. *See* Decl. of Theodore Kramer re Order regarding Retention of Counsel by Pl. Six4Three, LLC ¶ 2 (July 1, 2019). The firm filed a notice of appearance on July 2. That same day, the firm also filed a peremptory challenge pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 170.6. Facebook filed an opposition to the challenge, Macdonald Fernandez replied, and the Court struck the challenge as untimely on July 9, 2019. This dispute arises from Macdonald Fernandez's response to that July 9 Order.

Three days after the Court struck Macdonald Fernandez's peremptory challenge, the firm filed a "Verified Statement of Disqualification" pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 170.3(c)(1). Verified Statement at 1 (July 12, 2019). For the reasons that follow, that "verified statement" is improper and should be stricken.

B. Macdonald Fernandez's Verified Statement of Disqualification Is Improper and Should Be Stricken.

California's Code of Civil Procedure devotes a specific chapter to "Disqualifications of Judges." That chapter opens with the command that "[a] judge *has a duty to decide* any proceeding in which he or she is not disqualified." Civ. Proc. Code § 170 (emphasis added). The chapter goes on to grant courts the power to strike a statement of disqualification if "a statement of disqualification is untimely filed or if

on its face it discloses no legal grounds for disqualification[.]" Civ. Proc. Code § 170.4(b). Here, the Court can and should strike Macdonald Fernandez's statement.

Macdonald Fernandez styles its pleading as a statement pursuant to Civil Procedure Code section 170.3(c). *See* Verified Statement at 1. But that section governs challenges for cause—not peremptory challenges. *See California Judges Benchbook: Civil Proceedings - Before Trial* § 7.14 (Foundation for Judicial Education 2019) ("The grounds for disqualification of a judge *for cause* are set out in detail in CCP § 170.1 . . . , and the procedure to be followed is set out in CCP § 170.3.") (emphasis added). The text of the section makes this distinction clear: Section 170.3(c)(2) describes the options available to "a judge *whose impartiality has been challenged by the filing of a written statement*[.]" Civ. Proc. Code § 170.3(c)(2) (emphasis added).

The statute's structure confirms this reading: Section 170.3(c)(1), which Macdonald Fernandez invokes, requires that the statement set forth "facts constituting the grounds for disqualification[.]" Id. (emphasis added). That language is a direct reference to immediately preceding sections 170.1 ("Grounds for disqualification") and 170.2 ("Circumstances not constituting grounds for disqualification") (emphases added). Those sections, in turn, recite circumstances indicative of prejudice of bias. See also Civ. Proc. Code § 170.1(a) (listing "grounds for disqualification" that include, inter alia, when "[t]he judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts" and when "[t]he judge has a financial interest in the subject matter in a proceeding"). Unsurprisingly, California's courts of appeal uniformly describe section 170.3(c) as the procedure used to strike judges for cause. See Tri Counties Bank v. Superior Court (Amaya-Geunon), 167 Cal. App. 4th 1332, 1337 (2008) ("A party may seek a judge's disqualification for cause under the procedure set forth at section 170.3, subdivision (c)") (emphasis added).

The problem, of course, is that Macdonald Fernandez says that it has not filed a challenge for cause. The firm's "verified statement" purports to be "pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 170.3(c)(1)," but the firm expressly "reserve[d] its right to seek disqualification for cause pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 170.1." Verified Statement ¶ 6 (emphasis added). Macdonald Fernandez's only gripe with the Court is that the Court (properly) struck the firm's untimely peremptory challenge. See id. ¶ 3. But that's not grounds for disqualification. On this point, section 170.2 could not be clearer:

1	"It shall not be grounds for disqualification that the judge: (b) <i>Has in any capacity expressed a view</i>
2	on a legal or factual issue presented in the proceeding[.]" Civ. Proc. Code § 170.2(b) (emphasis
3	added). The Court's ruling on Macdonald Fernandez's peremptory challenge cannot support a
4	challenge under section 170.3(c). See supra. For that reason, the firm's statement should be stricken.
5	III. CONCLUSION
6	For the reasons above, Macdonald Fernandez's improper "Verified Statement of Disqualification"
7	should be stricken.
8	
9	Dated: July 17, 2019 DURIE TANGRI LLP
10	By: Z A //_
11	SONAL N. MEHTA JOSHUA H. LERNER
12	LAURA E. MILLER CATHERINE Y. KIM
13	ZACHARY G. F. ABRAHAMSON
14	Attorneys for Defendants Facebook, Inc., Mark Zuckerberg, Christopher Cox,
15	Javier Olivan, Samuel Lessin, Michael Vernal, and Ilva Sukhar
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
$\begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 25 \end{bmatrix}$	Even if the firm <i>could</i> use section 170.3(c) to collaterally attack the Court's section 170.6 ruling—it
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	cannot—Macdonald Fernandez's "verified statement" improperly purports to "incorporate[] by reference" the firm's Reply in Support of Peremptory Challenge. <i>See</i> Verified Statement ¶ 3. As this
$\frac{20}{27}$	Court has held in other circumstances, California law does not permit such casual incorporation. <i>See</i> Order (1) Denying Defendant Facebook, Inc.'s Special Mot. to Strike at 10:22–23 (July 16, 2018)
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	("Plaintiff provides no legal authority to support incorporation of arguments raised in other motions."). Accordingly, neither this nor any court would consider the merits of Macdonald Fernandez's section
۵۵	170.6 briefing in connection with the firm's section 170.3 challenge.

2

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in San Francisco County, State of California, in the office of a member of the bar of this Court, at whose direction the service was made. I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 217 Leidesdorff Street, San Francisco, CA 94111.

On July 17, 2019, I served the following documents in the manner described below:

DEFENDANT FACEBOOK, INC.'S OBJECTION TO MACDONALD FERNANDEZ'S VERIFIED STATEMENT OF DISQUALIFICATION

- (BY OVERNIGHT MAIL) I am personally and readily familiar with the business \mathbf{X} practice of Durie Tangri LLP for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery, and I caused such document(s) described herein to be deposited for delivery to a facility regularly maintained by Federal Express for overnight delivery.
- \mathbf{x} BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By electronically mailing a true and correct copy through Durie Tangri's electronic mail system from cortega@durietangri.com to the email addresses set forth below.

On the following part(ies) in this action:

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL & EMAIL

Reno F.R. Fernandez III Matthew J. Olson Macdonald Fernandez LLP 221 Sansome Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Reno@MacFern.com Matt@MacFern.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Six4Three, LLC

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Stuart G. Gross **GROSS & KLEIN LLP** The Embarcadero, Pier 9, Suite 100 San Francisco, CA 94111 sgross@grosskleinlaw.com

VIA EMAIL ONLY

David S. Godkin James Kruzer BIRNBAUM & GODKIN, LLP 280 Summer Street Boston, MA 02210 godkin@birnbaumgodkin.com kruzer@birnbaumgodkin.com

VIA EMAIL ONLY

Jack Russo **Christopher Sargent** ComputerLaw Group, LLP 401 Florence Street Palo Alto, CA 94301 jrusso@computerlaw.com csargent@computerlaw.com ecf@computerlaw.com

Attorney for Theodore Kramer and Thomas Scaramellino (individual capacities)

VIA EMAIL ONLY

James A. Murphy James A. Lassart Thomas P Mazzucco Joseph Leveroni Murphy Pearson Bradley & Feeney 88 Kearny St, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 JMurphy@MPBF.com ilassart@mpbf.com TMazzucco@MPBF.com JLeveroni@MPBF.com

Attorney for Birnbaum & Godkin. LLP

VIA EMAIL ONLY Donald P. Sullivan Wilson Elser 525 Market Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 donald.sullivan@wilsonelser.com Joyce. Vialpando@wilsonelser.com Dea.Palumbo@wilsonelser.com Attorney for Gross & Klein LLP I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 17, 2019, at San Francisco, California.